

# Medical Necessity Letters: A Summary of Best Practices



Medical necessity letters “can be an **essential tool in patients’ dealings with insurers, empowering patients to preempt or reverse insurance denials** by reinforcing the basis of case-specific clinical decisions and establishing the clinician’s assessment of the treatment as ‘medically necessary.’”<sup>1</sup>

Clinicians determine medically necessary care:

- ...based on generally accepted standards set by not-for-profit professional societies and aimed at the appropriate clinical goal of recovery.
- Contrarily, insurers may apply their proprietary standards to limit “medically necessary care” to crisis stabilization.
- Federal health insurance regulations do not provide clear guidance as to the standards of required care and coverage for mental health and substance use disorder care.

### Medical necessity letter elements:

- ❖ Clinician training and practice
- ❖ Clinician experience with patient
- ❖ Generally accepted standards applied
- ❖ Medically-necessary care
- ❖ If appropriate, reference to insurer denials, potential risks/danger of delayed/denied care

As such, the goals of a medical necessity letter are:

- For a clinician to document their point-of-care decision-making either in response to or in anticipation of a health insurer’s denial (or delay) in the coverage of a patient’s care.
- Reference any potential conflict between the clinician’s “generally accepted standards” and the insurer’s view of “medical necessity.”



[Download  
template letter](#)

Clinical Occasions	Examples	Best Practices
<b>Change in level of care</b>	Transition to/from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• intensive outpatient (IOP),</li> <li>• partial hospitalization (PHP),</li> <li>• residential care,</li> <li>• in-patient care</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Medical necessity letter provided by referring clinician, as part of “hand-off” of patient to referred clinician</li> <li>• Letter supports referred clinician in securing necessary prior authorization</li> <li>• Letter anticipates potential insurer “input” to instead try lower level of care (e.g., “step therapy,” “fail first”)</li> </ul>
<b>Medication change to Rx with known denial risk by insurer</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On insurer’s “suggestion” of a different medication inconsistent with clinician’s determination</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Letter identifies Rx selection, with references to alternatives considered/rejected, as well as potential risks/dangers to patient</li> </ul>
<b>Update in patient status or clinical decision-making</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notable progress (or reversal)</li> <li>• New or modified treatment plan</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clinician provides follow-up letter to reflect patient status, with reference to an earlier medical necessity letter</li> </ul>
<b>Following notice of denied claim, denied/delayed authorization</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explanation of Benefits specifies “denied as not medically necessary”</li> <li>• Reversal of previous prior authorization</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Letter may complement clinician contact with insurer regarding care</li> <li>• Letter may support patient self-advocacy with insurer (as supported by Cover My Mental Health)</li> </ul>
<b>Following denial for treatment deemed “experimental”</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insurers may deny as experimental a treatment accepted by mainstream medical community</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include reference of peer-reviewed publications and basis of evidence for the clinical plan</li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup>“Providing a Routine Medical Necessity Letter to Improve Access to Care for Our Patients”\_ Journal of Psychiatric Practice, July 2021; Feldman, et al